## C. JOHYDRATE/CADROIT CON ACT REPOR.

21 March 55

NATE, TIME, and PLACE of Meeting: 7 March, 1000-1020, TAB and nearby cafe Present: a. [ ] b. CARBOHID! ATE

- 2. CARBO reported that he had spoken with Schmidt (the younger of the two lawyers), Napp being out of town (Mapp is the older of the two who is handling the divorce case himself). Schmidt was familiar with the case and went over the file with CARBO. Schmidt and Mapp had, it appeared, honestly not known that CARCRAMA 2 had been an accessory to her husbands activities. Her trip to Mest Berlin to meet the American case officer had been explained to the hapmanx lawyers as an effort upon the wife's part to check upon the activities of her husband and find out what he was doing (clearly alle upon CARCARAMA 2's part). Schmidt say/immediately that seen strictly from a legal standpoint, forcing CARBO to testify mean through a subpoens would mean the end of the divor e action upon espionage grounds. Schmidt and CARBO then agreed that espionage grounds allegations would be withdrawn, and a new action would be started by CARCARAMA 2 alleging (untruthfully) that CARCRAMA had denied "Ehelichen Verkehr" since the birth of their son. Efforts whuld be made through Samoni to get CARCARAMA 2 to agree that this allegation is true.
- 3. CARBO had also seen GARGARAMA 2, who had also agreed that espionage was poor grounds for divorce and that denial of Vericehr should be substituted. According to CARBO, she had agreed only very reluctantly, since she through persisted for some time in the belief that espionage was good grounds (knowing little of law, really) and pretended reluctant to allege matters as intinate as denial of Verkehr. She repeatedly insisted at first that CARBO could testify re CARCARAMA's espionage without great danger to himself (1).
- is It was agreed by all concerned that CARCARAMA 2 would visit her lawyers(offices on 8 Merch when Mapp would be back, recover the ground which CARBO had discussed individually with Schmidt and CARCARAMA 2, go to court to withdraw the espionage allegations (claiming—and nothing could be better from our standpoint at this stage of the affair—dnability to prove the charges), and allegation of new charges of denial of Verkehrsamy question as to why the latter ground, if true, had not been alleged originally, would be answered by stating that this ground had existed all along, but CARCARAMA 2 had shown a very natural reluctance to go into such initiate matters unless absolutely necessary. This was the reason that CARCARAMA's resistance activity had been alleged as a ground-denial of Verkehrs had to be gone into.

64 Next metings Scheduled originally for 8 March 1900, but delayed by temperary illness until 10 March 55 at 1900 at C's apt.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3 B 2 B NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT BATE 2006